Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text, figures, and tables. |
| 9B.01 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 9B.01 as presented in the NPA, but recommends expanding the appropriate application of STOP and YIELD signs for all bicycle facilities. |
| Figure 9B-1 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 9B-1 in the NPA but recommends the following revisions:   * Replace the NPA text sign for R3-5hP with a symbol sign in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 14A-BIK-03. * Add the symbol plaque version of the EXCEPT BIKES R3-xP in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 09A-BIK-01. * Replace the NPA version of the bicyclist passing clearance sign R4-19 with the version in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 18A-BIK-01. The NCUTCD recommended sign is a product of the 2017 Traffic Control Device Pooled Fund Study, and additional comprehension testing was performed by Dr. Ron Van Houten at Western Michigan University. * Add the selective exclusion signs for NO DIRT BIKES and NO ATV’s R5-xx in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 18B-BIK-03. * Restore the 2009 sign designation for the BIKES MAY USE FULL LANE sign to R4-11 to match the designation stated in the text of Section 9B.14. * Replace the NPA text sign version of BICYCLES MUST EXIT sign R9-22 in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 09B-BIK-01. * Add the TURNING VEHICLES YIELD TO BIKES sign R10-15b and TURNING VEHICLES YIELD TO BIKES & PEDS sign R10-15C in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 18B-BIK-01. * Add the BIKE SIGNAL sign R10-42 in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 14A-STC-02. * Add a BIKE SIGNAL sign R10-41c (mirror of R10-41 for left-through movements, such as on the left side of one-way streets). |
| 9B.02 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 9B.02 as presented in the NPA, but recommends adding the symbol version of the Except Bicycle plaque in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 09A-BIK-01, plus minor editorial changes. |
| Figure 9B-2 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 9B-2 as presented in the NPA, but recommends depicting the symbol version of the Except Bikes plaque R5-xxP instead of the word version in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 09A-BIK-01. |
| 9B.03 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 9B.03 as presented in the NPA, but recommends deleting a redundant Standard statement regarding display of separated bicycle facilities on the sign, plus minor editorial changes. |
| 9B.04 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 9B.04 as presented in the NPA, but recommends adding the R3-17aP “AHEAD” to the list of plaques, plus minor editorial changes. |
| 9B.05 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 9B.05 as presented in the NPA. |
| 9B.06 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 9B.06 as presented in the NPA. |
| 9B.07 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 9B.07 as presented in the NPA. |
| 9B.08 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Section 9B.08 as presented in the NPA, but recommends including selective exclusion signs for dirt bikes/motorcycles and all-terrain vehicles (ATVs) evaluated as part of a FHWA Traffic Control Devices Pooled Fund Study and included in NCUTCD recommendation 18-BIK-03. NCUTCD also recommends correcting the code for the R9-15 No Snowmobiles sign. |
| 9B.09 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 9B.09 as presented in the NPA, with minor editorial changes. |
| 9B.10 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 9B.10 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 9B-3 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 9B-3 in the NPA, but recommends revisions to show sign designations, the addition of R7-10 signs, and illustration of green colored pavement markings to match dashed bike lane in accordance with Section 3H.06. Designate green colored pavement as optional. |
| 9B.11 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends deleting Option and Support statements that are covered in Sections 2B.60 and 9B.21. |
| 9B.12 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 9B.12 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 9B-4 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 9B-4 as presented in the NPA, but recommends deleting example B, as it depicts an undesirable application. |
| 9B.13 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 9B.13 as presented in the NPA. |
| 9B.14 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 9B.14 as presented in the NPA, but recommends relocating text within the section referencing the UVC. |
| 9B.15 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising 9B.15 as follows:   * Add an Option paragraph to allow use of a STATE LAW header panel to enhance compliance with local ordinance or laws in accordance with Part 2. * Revise the Guidance statement to clarify where the R4-19 sign should not be used in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 18B-BIK-02. If the use of this sign is discouraged on roadways with shoulders or standard bicycle lanes, it can hinder efforts to promote reasonably safe passing of bicyclists where minimal lateral separation exists between the bikeway and the motor vehicle travel way. NCUTCD recommends revisions to remove these restrictions. |
| 9B.16 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 9B.16 as presented in the NPA. |
| 9B.17 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 9B.17 as presented in the NPA but recommends removing duplicate language. |
| Figure 9B-5 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 9B-5 as presented in the NPA, but recommends including sign designations and distances as per NCUTCD recommendation 09B-BIK-01. |
| Figure 9B-x2 (new) | N/A | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD recommends adding a new Figure 9B-x2 to address signing for freeways where bicyclists are prohibited but an adjacent frontage or service road exists that is open to bicycle travel, in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 09B-BIK-01. |
| 9B.18 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising 9B.18 in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 14B-BIK-01 as follows:   * Revise the first Support statement to include material from the Standard statement regarding appropriate conditions for two-stage bicycle turn boxes * Add a new Guidance statement regarding whether a two-stage bicycle turn box is appropriate * Revise the Standard statement to delete material moved to Support and Option, and add a reference to passive detection * Add a new Guidance statement regarding turning conflicts and use of R10-11 sign. |
| Figure 9B-6 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 9B-6 as presented in the NPA, but recommends replacing the advance R9-23 with a R9-23a sign, as it conflicts with the mandatory lane movement markings. NCUTCD also recommends including sign designations and distances. |
| 9B.19 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 9B.19 as presented in the NPA, but recommends adding Support explaining the reason for bicycle jughandle signs, plus minor editorial changes. |
| Figure 9B-7, Sheet 1 of 2 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 9B-7 sheet 1 of 2, but recommends deleting the U-turn pavement markings, adding sign designations, and verifying correct sign layout. |
| Figure 9B-7, Sheet 2 of 2 | N/A | N/A | NO | NCUTCD recommends deleting Figure 9B-7 sheet 2 of 2. |
| 9B.20 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 9B.20 as presented in the NPA, with minor editorial changes. |
| 9B.21 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising 9B.21 to include the R10-15b and R10-15c TURNING VEHICLES YIELD signs and add a new Option statement for consistency with Section 2B.60 in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 18B-BIK-01. |
| 9B.22 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising 9B.22 as follows:   * Add reference to Section 4H.04, as bicycle signal sign usage is discussed in Part 4 * Revise the Standard statement to:   + add missing signs   + delete provisions for usage, as these are discussed in Chapter 4H   + add text to address sign sizes in different situations * Add an Option statement to address sign sizes for near-side signals |
| 9B.23 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 9B.23 as presented in the NPA. |
| 9B.24 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 9B.24 as presented in the NPA. |
| 9B.25 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 9B.25 as presented in the NPA. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |